

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

MEETRIX IP, LLC,	§ § § § § § § §	
Plaintiff,		CASE NO. 6:21-cv-01288-ADA
v.		
ZOHO CORPORATION,		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.		

CASE READINESS STATUS REPORT

Plaintiff Meetrix IP, LLC (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Zoho Corporation (“Defendant”) hereby provide the following status report.

SCHEDULE

A scheduling order has not yet been filed. A Markman date has not yet been proposed. A trial date has not yet been proposed.

FILING AND EXTENSIONS

Plaintiff’s Complaint was filed on December 10, 2021 and Defendant’s deadline to respond to the Complaint was March 8, 2022. Defendant did not seek an extension to answer.

RESPONSE TO THE COMPLAINT

On March 8, 2022, Defendant responded to Plaintiff’s Complaint by filing a Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (“Motion to Dismiss”) (Dkt. 11).

PENDING MOTIONS

Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (Dkt. 11) and Defendant’s Opposed Motion to Transfer Venue Within this District (Dkt. 13) are the only two pending

motions. Plaintiff intends to seek venue discovery from Defendant regarding the issues raised in the Motion to Transfer.

RELATED CASES IN THIS JUDICIAL DISTRICT

There is one related case in this Judicial District involving the same asserted patents: *Meetrix IP, LLC v. Verizon Communications, Inc.*, Case No. 6:21-cv-01289-ADA.

IPR, CBM, AND OTHER PGR FILINGS

There are no known IPR, CBM or other PGR filings.

NUMBER OF ASSERTED PATENTS AND CLAIMS

Plaintiff has asserted four patents and a total of 79 claims. The asserted patents are U.S. Patent No. 8,339,997, U.S. Patent No. 9,094,525, U.S. Patent No. 9,253,332, and U.S. Patent No. 9,843,612.

APPOINTMENT OF TECHNICAL ADVISOR

The parties do not believe a technical advisor is necessary.

MEET AND CONFER STATUS

The parties met and conferred on Friday, March 11, 2022. Plaintiff has no pre-*Markman* issues. Defendant has identified the following pre-*Markman* issues to raise at the CMC:

- 1) Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (Dkt. 11)
- 2) Defendant's Opposed Motion to Transfer Venue Within this District (Dkt. 13)

Dated: March 15, 2022

By: /s/ John D. Saba
Andrew G. DiNovo
Texas State Bar No. 00790594
adinovo@dinovoprice.com
DiNovo Price LLP
7000 N. MoPac Expressway, Suite 350
Austin, Texas 78731
Telephone: (512) 539-2631
Facsimile: (512) 539-2627

John D. Saba, Jr.
Texas State Bar No. 24037415
john@wittliffcutter.com
Wittliff Cutter PLLC
1209 Nueces
Austin, Texas 78701
Telephone: (512) 960-4388
Facsimile: (512) 960-4869

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Ryan J. Marton
Darryl J. Adams
Texas State Bar No. 00796101
dadams@sgbfirm.com
SLAYDEN GRUBERT BEARD PLLC
401 Congress Avenue, Suite 1650
Austin, Texas 78701
Telephone: (512) 402-3550
Facsimile: (512) 402-6865

Ryan J. Marton (*pro hac vice* pending)
Hector J. Ribera (*pro hac vice* to be filed)
Carolyn Chang (*pro hac vice* pending)
Phillip Haack (*pro hac vice* pending)
MARTON RIBERA SCHUMANN & CHANG LLP
548 Market Street, Suite 36117
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 360-2511

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 15, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ John D. Saba, Jr.
John D. Saba, Jr.